ASWWU Executive Board Meeting Minutes-DRAFT

10/22/26 | 2:30pm| VU567

ASWWU Executive Board Members

Present: {#}

Maynard – President	Welfare – ASVP Univ Ops
Triebs – ASVP Gov Aff	Montalvo – ASVP Diversity
Plastino – ASVP Student Services	Wald – ASVP Sustainability
Hoover – ASVP Activities	

Absent: n/a
Advisor: Lorio
Secretary: Lojewski
Guest(s) in attendance:

Motions Summary – at a glance, actions taken this meeting.

Motion #	Title	Result
ASB-26-F-07	Montalvo moves to approve 10/22/2026 ASWWU Executive Board Consent Agenda as presented	Passed
ASB-26-F-08	VP Plastino moves to nominate Vice President Welfare to the WSA Finance Committee representing ASWWU.	Passed
ASB-26-F-09	Triebs moves to approve the 2026-2027 Services and Activity Fee Committee Membership.	Passed
ASB-26-F-10	Welfare moves to approve the 2026-2027 Legislative Affairs Council At-Large Student Membership	Passed
ASB-26-F-11	Hoover moves to approve the SEJF Program Restructuring Workgroup Proposal.	Passed
ASB-26-F-12	Wald moves to extend the meeting by ten minutes.	Passed

1. **Call to order**
   Maynard, Chair, called the meeting to order at 2:31pm.

2. **Revisions to the agenda**
   a. None.

3. **Consent Items – Routine business items that do not require discussion**
   a. Approve ASWWU 10/15/2026 Meeting Minutes Doc #1

ASB-26-F-07	**Motion:**
Montalvo moves to approve 10/22/2026 ASWWU Executive Board Consent Agenda as presented.	
Motion Made By:	**Motion Seconded By:**
Montalvo	Wald

Approved 10-22-2026 Motion #ASB-26-F-13

Vote Count:			
Aye	Nay	Abstain	**Action Result:**
7	0	0	Passed

4. **Public forum – Time for WWU & community members to address the ASWWU Executive Board.**
    a. None.

5. **ASWWU Executive Board reports**
    a. **ASWWU Executive Board President Report** (Maynard, Doc 2)
        i. First board of trustees meeting of the academic year
            1. Even though WWU GAP is working well and is being expanded statewide, the biggest barrier to enrollment seems to be financial decisions.
        ii. WSA, hiring an accountant. Meeting in person for the first time at fall assembly.
        iii. Resolutions were introduced to senators last night for volunteers.
        iv. Reception with President's cabinet rescheduled to Nov 5
    b. **ASWWU VP for Gov Affairs** (Triebs, Doc 3)
        i. LAC will be having the first meeting next week. Invitations to join (after approved appointment) to be sent out tonight with rejection notices sent out tomorrow
        ii. Looking forward to attending WSA to follow up on questions raised after meeting with Nora
        iii. Meeting with students
            1. LSU expressed concerns and gave feedback on the Jane Doe statement
            2. Met with a reporter from The Front
    c. **ASWWU VP for Student Services** (Plastino, Doc 4)
        i. Met with WTA briefly to talk about contract language.
    d. **ASWWU VP for Sustainability** (Wald, Doc 5)
        i. TAC meeting, reviewing the WTA contract. Learned how the bike counters around campus work.
            1. Cleared confusion around the difference between TAC (student advisory group, not run by ASWWU) and ASTAC (OPMA compliant, chartered by ASWWU, making decisions about the fee).
    e. **ASWWU Executive Board Advisor Report** (Lorio)
        i. Timesheet reminder (for Halloween)
        ii. Administratively, we will be updating the minutes for clarity and motion tracking.
        iii. Question: what's your favorite color?
            1. Blue

6. **Action items – Items that are expected to need a vote**
    a. **WSA Finance Committee Nomination of VP Welfare** (Maynard)
        i. Welfare, it makes sense given that many of the committees he's already on are finance related

ASB-26-F-08			Motion: VP Plastino moves to nominate Vice President Welfare to the WSA Finance Committee representing ASWWU.
Draft Language:			
Motion Made By:			**Motion Seconded By:**
Plastino			Hoover
Vote Count:			
Aye	Nay	Abstain	**Action Result:**
7	0	0	Passed

b. Approval of the 2026-27 Services and Activity Fee Committee Membership (McBride, Doc 6)

i. S&A Fee committee coordinator. ASWWU is meant to approve the membership of this committee. McBride's job in this situation is to gather the membership, train them, and then have them do the work.
   1. The process included checking conflicts of interest for at-large members. For example, if a student is a paid member of one of the recipients of the S&A fee, it is a conflict of interest for them to also be a paid, at-large member
   2. Main role is to facilitate the process, not influence the students on the committee.

ii. Maynard, earlier in the year, met with McBride and Welfare to talk about how to take the ASWWU a little further out of the process, have McBride review the applicants.

iii. Lorio, point of order; if you are being voted on to join the committee, potentially remove yourself from the room

iv. Triebs, why is Athletics part of this committee if they have their own fee?
   1. McBride, dollars may still be reallocated throughout the year, and it makes sense that they should be part of the process until they are fully out of the fee pool
   2. Athletics is still a voting member per the Board of Trustees.
      a. Lorio, the board doesn't vote until December about this.

v. Hoover, is it ethical to be part of starting a committee working with the Athletics fee

ASB-26-F-09			Motion: Triebs moves to approve the 2026-2027 Services and Activity Fee Committee Membership.
Draft Language:			
Motion Made By:			**Motion Seconded By:**
Triebs			Plastino
Vote Count:			
Aye	Nay	Abstain	**Action Result:**
5	0	2	Passed

c. Approval of the 2026-2027 Legislative Affairs Council At-large Student Membership (Triebs, Doc 7)

i. Review of highlights of the applications.
ii. COLA Keating shares that the applications received were very strong and these finalists stood out.

ASB-26-F-10			Motion: Welfare moves to approve the 2026-2027 Legislative Affairs Council At-Large Student Membership
Draft Language:			
Motion Made By:			**Motion Seconded By:**
Welfare			Hoover
Vote Count:			
Aye	Nay	Abstain	**Action Result:**
7	0	0	Passed

d. SEJF Program Restructuring Workgroup Proposal (Wald, Doc 8)
i. Zinta Lucans, Program Manager for SEJF. Introduces what SEJF is, explains the process for receiving a grant through the fund, and the history of the changes to the process throughout the years.
ii. Wald speaks to the workgroup proposal.

ASB-26-F-11			Motion: Hoover moves to approve the SEJF Program Restructuring Workgroup Proposal.
Draft Language:			
Motion Made By:			**Motion Seconded By:**
Hoover			Welfare
Vote Count:			
Aye	Nay	Abstain	**Action Result:**
5	0	2	Passed

7. Discussion Items
a. By-Laws Removal Article Discussion (Maynard, By-laws)
i. The removal article has the potential to conflict with WAWU contract language. In talking with WAWU, they suggested having a clear list of tasks/behaviors that could be an infraction since now, anything for any reason could lead to removal.
1. The current administrative removal section is not under the authority of either body (student employee eligibility, senators no longer being part of the college they're meant to represent).
ii. Welfare, references Senator Lee's idea of a clear form that starts the process.
1. The ethics committee, making sure there's evidence, different infraction levels, progressive action being taken as layers before removal,
a. Question, Mayard, how public should this process be? Would this happen at a regular meeting?
b. Lorio, the contract language references specifically supervisor/employee relationship, but since the charter specifically says that AS government can have its own process

i. Sometimes progressive action wouldn't be appropriate
    1. Discussion of crimes vs job specific situations for removal
ii. Wald, idea of the advisor bringing a 'case' to the attention of the bodies and a group of volunteers on the committee
    1. Maynard/Lorio, the advisor in this situation is not a supervisor, this might become inappropriate

c. Montalvo, how do we decide who's on the ethics committee?
d. Wald, the idea of an ethics committee makes sense, but the practicality of peers discussing possible termination doesn't sound very good
    i. Montalvo, The form that gets presented is a step in the formal process, but the first step should be a check in directly with the person
    ii. Maynard, the bodies are not juries, and voting in this way especially with senate outnumbering executive board might not be fair

2. Maynard, HR doesn't necessarily check GPA, even though within the AS and general student employment require 2.0 GPA. We can align with HR/univ policy
    a. Wald, If you're not going to be removed from the University for being in bad academic standing, why be removed from your position? Unless you're on probation, that shouldn't be a factor
        i. There shouldn't be a binary of fired or not fired, a review period, make amends, come back to the position
        ii. Lorio, Clarification on levels of academic standing, how GPAs between quarters affect cumulative GPAs

ASB-26-F-11			**Motion: Wald moves to extend the meeting by ten minutes.**
Draft Language:			
Motion Made By:			**Motion Seconded By:**
Wald			Hoover
Vote Count:			
Aye	Nay	Abstain	**Action Result:**
7	0	0	Passed

8. **Information items – Items that do not need a vote**
    a. **Senate President Report** (Senator Cooperrider, Doc 9)
        i. Senator Cooperrider reads the Senate President's report to the group.

9. **Call to adjourn**
Maynard, Chair, called the meeting to order at 4:10p.m.