



Green Energy Fee Grant Program

SMALL PROJECT PILOT - QUANTITATIVE SCORING METRICS

Project Proposal Title: _____ Date: _____

Before this proposal can be rated and ranked, the GEF Committee will ensure that the proposal meets the Program Fund Requirements as outlined in the GEF Program Rules of Operations:

Does this proposal meet the GEF fund requirements? Y N

All applications will be reviewed and evaluated based on the following weighted criteria:

1. Relevance to GEF Program Mission (48 points total)
2. Proposal Merits (28 points total)
3. Project Communication, Collaboration, and Feasibility (24 points total)

Category	Criteria	Rating	Weight	Score	Comments	Corresponding Questions
1. Relevance to GEF Program Mission	1.1 Does the proposal result in reducing the University's environmental impact? If this project was adopted university -wide, would this reduction be significant?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x6			4a, 4b, 4c, 4e
	1.2 Does the proposal increase student involvement? Is there a visible educational benefit to Western Washington University's student community?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x3			4a, 4b, 4d, 4f, 5a
	1.3 Does the proposal create an aware and engaged campus community through an effective communications strategy?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x3			4a, 4b, 4d, 4f, 5a, 5b
2. Proposal Merits	2.1 Does the project sufficiently tie into broader campus sustainability initiatives?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x4			4b, 4c
	2.2 Are there clear and measurable outcomes? Can the project's identified quantitative and/or qualitative metrics affectively measure the success of this project?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x3			4b, 4d, 4e
3. Project Communication, Collaboration, and Feasibility	3.1 Does the project applicant and partner(s) have sufficient experience and technical expertise? Is the project sufficiently supported by a faculty/staff advisor?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x2			5a, 5b, Project Approval Form
	3.2 Is the budget well thought out? Does the applicant have a feasible plan for ongoing operational and maintenance costs?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x2			6a, 6b, 6c
	3.3 Is the application complete and professional? Do the answers effectively communicate the proposal?	1 - Poor 2 - Fair 3 - Good 4 - Excellent	x2			Overall application
Total Points Out of 100						
Percentage						