

Western Washington University Associated Students
Board of Directors Meeting
Wednesday, May 13th, 2015 VU 567

AS Board Officers: *Present:* Annika Wolters (President), Giselle Alcántar Soto (VP Activities), Chelsea Ghant (VP BusOps), Cristina Rodriguez (VP Diversity), Sarah Kohout (VP Governmental Affairs), and Zach Dugovich (VP Student Life)

Advisor: Eric Alexander

Guest(s): Patrick Eckroth (REP Director), Sam Goldblatt (ROP Director), Camie Herk (AS Productions Director), Jenn Cook (AS Advisor), Casey Hayden (AS Advisor), Greg McBride (Assistant Director of the Viking Union Facilities)

MOTIONS

- ASB-15-S-18** Approve the information item Funding for KUGS to immediate action. Passed
- ASB-15-S-19** Approve the NTE \$8000 from ASBACC for critical maintenance on KUGS Antenna Tower. Passed.
- ASB-15-S-20** Approves the Viking Lobby Day Job Description.
- ASB-15-S-21** Approve the split vote of Services fees versus Mandatory fees.
- ASB-15-S-22** Approve the Service Fee Increases.
- ASB-15-S-23** Approve the Mandatory Nonacademic Building.
- ASB-15-S-24** Approve the structural review committee.
- ASB-15-S-25** Approve the Election 2015 Results.
- ASB-15-S-26** Approve to email board reports.

Annika Wolters, AS President, called the meeting to order at 7:05p.m.

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

II. REVISIONS TO THE AGENDA

III. PUBLIC FORUM (*comments from students and the community*)

IV. INFORMATION ITEMS - Guests*

- A. Vote Summit Operation Enhancement

Patrick Eckroth said this is a request for funding which is mostly for transportation. It is a free training for the staff that will be doing the voter registration program next year. Washington student association and win win do a lot of trainings and do the trainings for those who are going to be doing the registrations next year. Washington bus as well. This is a good opportunity for board members to meet who they will be working with. Having done the training last year he felt it was easier registering folks. Alcántar Soto said they mentioned that it happened last year. What budget did it come out of last year. Kohout said it was probably the Legislative Affairs Budget. But they have seen an increase cost when using the enterprise vehicles and the Legislative Agendas were \$200 more than they had budgeted for. Alcántar Soto said her understanding of the Operating and Enhancement is that it can only be used once, correct? Ghant said they can use it twice for the same event. Lor example the Oregon student of color conference. Ghant also said that it is a small amount compared to what they have but she doesn't think it's the right avenue for it. Operating and Enhancement is for project, new expansions for existing offices. She doesn't see this as an expansion. She thinks it's more of a professional development opportunity and she would suggest the Student Development Lund. The Personnel Director is in charge of this, amounts less than \$400 can just be signed off on and doesn't need to go to PC. It's more of a professional development and doesn't fit in that policy. There is money in that fund as well. Kohout said how much is in that one? Ghant said there is more than \$150. Alcántar Soto said this trip is for? Kohout said it is June 7th. They are expanding it more than last year to include those from Western Vote. She asked if they could fund non-AS employees through the Student Staff Development Lund. Ghant said is it in the proposal because she doesn't see that they were requesting for non AS Employees. Eckroth said the only one would be the Western Votes Volunteer. Ghant said they are still students and it is professional development. Rodriguez said also if it is rental car and gas it wouldn't change the cost to include another person. Wolters said she could see it as an expansion because it is increasing the efforts of the REP and who they can reach. It is expanding. Whatever avenue it goes through she hopes they can get it funded, she will look forward to seeing this in the future. Ghant said if it would go to the personnel office, it wouldn't come back to the Board because the Personnel Director has authority to just approve that.

B. USSA Board Meeting Proposal

Mayra Guizar said she will be sending out emails to new Board members shortly. They have their Board meetings bi-monthly. This is their first full year as direct members to the United States Student Association (USSA) and they are building that relationship. They use to have membership through the Washington Student Association (WSA) and now they don't. There are added benefits of being direct members. She sits on the board of Directors of USSA. The proposal is that USSA is coming here to Western Washington University. They will take care of housing for those folks coming but taking care of food is another thing. They took care of them when they traveled to other universities and now it is their turn to repay the favor. It is the very last meeting before the national USSA meeting. A lot of this meeting will be discussing the future of the organization. She is here to request if they can get support through the Operating and Enhancement fund. This would include 3 breakfast and subway and burrito king as well. They can go to WinCo or Costco to buy in bulk. Black drop coffee is included and per gallon it is \$18. 2 gallons for 3 days. They can go in sometime this week and see if they can get a discount. They want to support a local business. She can come back with harder numbers but she put a not to exceed amount of \$725. She used past numbers and numbers she is able to come up with. Smiley asked if the USSA board meeting is open to general public. Mayra said yes absolutely. It would be a great chance for students who are interested in pursuing leadership opportunities to come

to those meetings and see what it is about. They will be invited to the meeting. She reserved for a room. It's a really busy month so she couldn't get bigger spaces but they will welcome anyone in. whether they were having conversations about suggestions to the Board. Ghant said this is the first time that it has been hosted here? Mayra said yes. Ghant said it will align with the policy. What about lodging? Mayra said some of them are offering their own place for these folks to stay. Rodriguez said it is the first time it is here and it's a great opportunity for students to sit in and see what it is. A bigger applicant pool to the people who want to go to Las Vegas. Ghant said she is really excited after going to some events herself. Alcántar Soto said she is bummed because she will be out of state. As far as publicizing this meeting, are they doing anything beyond the typical email? Mayra said she has been working on more logistics, she talked to USSA staff, which includes five staff members. They asked if there is something the members could do around campus. She said yes, she will bring it to the Board. She is still trying to figure out the agenda. If people are willing to do something, an action, or speak out, it could be really powerful. Capacity is an issue but with the help of everyone it would be a good opportunity to come up with some kind of conjunction with USSA. Maybe red square? She isn't exactly sure what it would look like. She knows during that week, there is a lot going on, on campus. Alcántar Soto said really cool to do something with activism. She could reach out to clubs.

C. Draft of resolution to address divestment from fossil fuels.

Wolters said she will take credit of none of this resolution. There is a work group from the Environmental Sustainability Office including Sadie and Galen. She did invite folks to explain more on this but she doesn't see anyone. Eckroth said he worked on it as well. Essentially it is a resolution they worked on to look at the vote of the student body two years ago. The request for the foundation to divest and the AS Boards resolution. They wanted to bring it up on the table again, stronger wording, and to talk about lack of transparency and why it is wrong to say no like they did. The intent was to throw everything out there and there have been some edits already made to this. He would love to answer questions. Wolters said the foundation, they are very secretive. There is only one student who can go and it is her and she isn't allowed to say which way folks voted. She personally doesn't see a lot of student involvement or any shared governance piece in the foundation meetings. They considered it, the students weren't in the deliberation of it. Smiley said what are the edits? She has suggestions. The last whereas on first page, maybe they could strengthen that argument. They could add something about the Salish community, communities of color that are affected by fossil fuels. Eckroth said that is a good point and he will bring it back to them and see what the group thinks. There was a note on the turn out of the election and he doesn't think it is a good idea to have in there. Ghant asked what is the common fund that they were referring to in this document. Eckroth said that it refers to a fund, a portfolio, already set up that doesn't include fossil fuels and it is showing that they don't have to create it, it's already been created. Ghant said this is a number people can call? Eckroth said that was taken out, it was notes. Wolters asked for more clarification on the common fund. The way she understands it is although Western doesn't directly invest in fossil fuels, the money is pulled into a common fund called common funds that could be related to fossil fuels. Alcántar Soto said "spends hundred of millions" could be more concrete, it seems exaggerating it. The last "be it resolved" talks about transparency issues through this process and it would be good to add one more "be it resolved" to intentionally inclusion of student voices. Pushing to have more students involved instead of solely transparency. Wolters said in the first be it resolved, it says the ASWWU is not advancing the mission to the university. It is not really the foundations mission to uphold the university's mission. Its mission is to advance the stewardship, and monetary needs of the university.

They aren't worried about the universities mission. Alcántar Soto said doesn't stewardship mean ethical use of the funds? Is that a bad use of that funds? Rodriguez said their direct mission wouldn't line up with the mission of the university. Alcántar Soto said even if it doesn't line up with the university that word right there says they need to be responsible and fossil fuels is not being responsible. Eckroth said they added a whereas right before the first be it resolved. On the Foundation page it talks about how they are doing those things so WWU can accomplish its mission which is why they put that information in their about WWU's mission. It says "The WWU Foundation is a non-Profit organization that advances the mission of the university" . Wolters said it holds more weight because last year it was a recommendation of the AS Board, and it wasn't a formal resolution to be passed. She would like to give appreciation to those who worked on this.

D. Fund Tower Service KUGS

Hoover said they put this document together because KUGS is having technical issues for the tower located on Sehome Hill. They don't have a budget for this type of repair. They have relayed on VU for some of that funding. It has become a real issue. KUGS is interfering with a cell phone antenna that is up there. It could be an FCC violation if they don't get it fixed. They have to have a tower crew to go out and make the repairs. They are coming to request money from the AS Reserve of a NTE \$8,000. Alcántar Soto said on the document it says approximately. \$3000. Why there is such a huge jump? Hoover said it is for that one experience. If they have to take the antenna, if they have to put it back up, it's another \$3000. The NTE amount is if they have to come out a couple times they will be covered for that. Kohout said she has ATT and it has been getting weird coverage which could be explained by this. Isn't that property of the city of Bellingham? Why aren't they paying for it? Hoover said they pay a lease, they have to maintain all responsibilities with that. Ghant said NTE \$8000. Would be coming from those discretionary dollars. For this situation would it be more accurate to come from the Rainy Day Reserves? It fits in line with that. It is a service that needs to be improved. She doesn't know if that is the best reserve fund to come out of. It deals more with large amounts. It may be a better avenue. Alexander said it could go either way. Both have ample support of funding. Reserves are slicing up a piece of pie to many pieces. He does think the justification around rainy day makes more sense. Ghant said wants to move this to immediate of action, if they go that route because it is an immediate issue. From ASBACC. Alcántar Soto said how much is in that reserve? Ghant said \$498,000. Alcántar Soto said this is for the general repair, there isn't a reserve for that? Alexander said yes, they do have a reserve for that but when they got the new Publicity Center computers they used that money. Hayden said he could imagine how urgent this is. He has been listening and every song drops. They are getting complaints every day. The sooner they can make this decision the better. It's a quality of issue. Hoover said they get phone calls complaining every day.

ASB-15-S-18 by Ghant

Approve to move this item to immediate action.

Alcántar Soto second Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

ASB-15-S-19 by Ghant

Approve NTE 8000 ASBACC critical maintenance for KUGS

Second: Kohout Vote: 6-0-0 Action Passed

E. Large Event Opportunity Fund Policy

Alcántar Soto said they saw this last week. They went over the educational side of things. They will go through the changes. Then the guests can tell everyone why they want to make the changes. They changed the policy to say May 2015. They changed AS programming office. They said that the requested needs to exceed \$500. The event is not expected to generate revenue so the money won't be paid back but it must be a unique unforeseeable opportunity. Must be open to all students. The declared a large event to be over 100 students. There was no audience requirement in the last policy. The body that will oversee it would be Activities Council (AC). There is another change, the amount of the fund \$65,000. There has been discussion to see how much it is used. They could have it at \$45,000 and reallocate the other \$20,000. But those are the main changes. Goldblatt said the biggest thing is this is for large events and accessibility of the fund. They originally came up with 150 students but then lowered it at 100 from recommendation from the Business Committee and they left it was a good number for large events and making it worth spending \$500 on. This would be to supplement their own budget for the event. Events that are smaller can get money elsewhere and this can't use this for travel for food that isn't for the speaker. Herk said who gets to make that decision is Activities Council and they debated about this. What does the Board think? Not really what its purpose is. It could be bogged down by proposals especially programming. Would Management Council be better? But then they meet every two weeks instead of every week. Which would take 6 weeks to get something past which is a long timeline. They decided It wouldn't fit for this proposal. Then they figured the Activities Council which is giving out money to student funds clubs. Which also can be solved, one employee, program director can sit on that committee. It was done in the past. There would be a lot easier to train them because they are already trained to give out money. Goldblatt said each primary sponsor, only can use it once a year. So if they are asking for money they can get education from that office. Alcántar Soto said they can add a director to Activities Council. The Assistant Business Director and students at large are current members. Hayden is the advisor as well. They will get training at the beginning of the year much like the AS Board did on how to spend money and budgets. Herk said they are considering the idea of targeted events. A lot of their talk where on what is a large ever. They usually go with the \$5 dollar subsidy per student. They don't want to spend \$5000 on 50 students. Goldblatt said if they do have targeted events 100 students or more. They still should be funding the event if it fits. This is a topic they can have a larger conversation on the Board level. Whether this should fund targeted events. Kohout said she doesn't see a problem with it. It is really important to have those. Alcántar Soto said the event must be in the entire student body. Targeted events, add "unless the targeted event fits the other criteria". Goldblatt said should it have Board approval first? Alexander said as a reminder about approving the targeted events. Those events usually call for those in the specific target areas but the event itself is open to everyone. Alcántar Soto said they wouldn't limit themselves. That would reach over 100 students but is targeted, she wants to include them. Ghant said yes, they should add it. Goldblatt said they talked about what the fund cannot be used for and the type of money it could be spent on. Like professional development or uncontacted food or travel. It's because the key point of this is large events can happen on campus. Clubs already have access to funds through Activities Councils fund. Clubs would be able to have access, as office primary sponsor. Herk said another reason not to add clubs is they aren't asking for it AS office are. Clubs basically already have an avenue. Hayden said it's fairly accurate. Activities council is at a good amount to fund it right now. They may get more request but they try to make it equal. They don't feel the pinch in event dollars. That fund is \$33,000 for clubs to come do it. Cook

said she would talk to an offices anyways. And being able to use this fund. Hayden said they could see how it plays out. They could relook at it then. Groups don't really ask for that much. They have a soft max at \$5000. Starting out in this way and then see the need in a few years. Alcántar Soto said the process would be very similar to what they do here. It needs to be 4 weeks out. The offices are limited to once a year but they do reserve the right to make exceptions. Exception is to be made the Board of Directors could potentially have to accept it. Hayden said things to consider, 100 students and are they really focusing on student attendees or just attendees. They aren't tracking how many community members came to it. Wonder if it states students it would detour people from accessing this fund. It may simplify it to say attendees only. The other problematic he sees is the word "unforeseeable" or "unforeseen". It's going to be constructive to the AC. Whatever they put in the policy is going to be a guide for the council. Those descriptors matters. Possible unbudgeted for? They don't have to get into if they could foresee it Or how unique it is do they? Wolters said unforeseeable leads people to say "you should have" seen this coming. Hayden said once per year, that may be too limiting. In terms of someone asking for \$5,000 request, they usually can't have too many. They may get several \$1,000. Some great opportunities may come once in fall and once in spring. He wonder if they want to begin with twice a year, and see if they get flooded. They don't want them to race to the fund either. More than once could be something they think about. Tikes the added professional development and uncontacted travel and food. Olivera said this was already edited. They are thinking more \$45,000 for the two or three year trail period. They could raise it up after that. They wanted clubs to be included but only with an as office. Work load of the AC, according to the Assistant Business Director. He has heard there are battles to meet quorum and they are large meetings and people aren't attending. Where was the cold beverage fund managed? There was a group that met. The minimum of \$500. Alcántar Soto said to answer some of the concerns, she is surprised to hear. They rarely go to 2 hours, once this quarter. They always have quorum, they haven't had one time where they haven't had quorum. One member dropped out and two joined. They don't foresee these request to be as frequent. She doesn't see this as an issue. Olivera said that sounds great, they aren't recommending not to have it in AC, it was a concerned raised in the meeting. Ghants aid overall this opportunity is very imperative and crucial. Over and over she has heard it. It really sucks. She would say, she would include "not part of the regular operating budget" worth repeating. She likes Hayden's point about attendees. Yes it has to be large. What if a program office, they want to bring an activist, it won't engage 100 students but it is still needed for western campus. She doesn't think it should be limited by the number of students. What if only 50 people came but it was a really important topic? Herk said one subsidy price, they don't want it to be so large. If it is that small the subsidies would be very large per student. It becomes difficult more than \$500. The Management Council is only \$3,000. If there will only be 50 students, why are you are asking more than \$500, and why is it not in the budget they already have. Goldblatt said a lot of educational programming, it looks like it may have small appeal, and they get a lot of students if marketed right. They can still make it open to that kind of programming and educational. Ghant said they are more of an expert to programming than she is. The next suggestion, 5 weeks is too far out, what about 4 weeks. She doesn't know the process. Alcántar soto said it wasn't because 5 weeks was a good number. They should have at least 5 weeks to make It successful. Herk said Publicity Center wants at least 6 weeks. Kohout said projected attendees. They won't penalize anyone if they don't get that amount will they? Ghant said no. Olivera said #4 on procedures. He thinks asking once a year is fine and they can make an exception. They can make as many exceptions as they want. Can they get three exceptions? Herk said an office happens twice. They wanted to give AC the authority to make that decision. Oliver said one exception per office? Herk said leaving it up to the AC and the people on that committee. Goldblatt said student's at large, student

dollars, acting conservatively. She doesn't think there will be a limit, but she doesn't think they will be making those exceptions. Hayden said they like to say yes. They like to keep that feeling. The boundary about timeline is good. They want to make sure the event is put together well. It is a helpful boundary. They don't want to be the bad guys. Years of seeing a lot of yes. They may have a hard time saying no. They may negotiate amounts. They have a limitation for bookstore donations. It's very helpful. It could be abused. He isn't opposed to the limitation. Alcántar Soto said very interesting idea. It would really depend on the amount that they are requesting. She doesn't think making the exception limit is good. She thinks saying once a year and just keeping that in mind should be good. It was brought down from 1000 to 500. Maybe the exception could only happen once. Maybe accessing it twice a year. Ghant said is there a cap? Not to exceed \$20,000? Something to consider? What if they asked? Is that ethical? It is important to have boundaries. Alcántar Soto said maximums temps' people to request higher amounts. The request is smaller than it seems in the beginning. She is hesitant to set a max. Kohout said do they include ticket sales, would the money go back into this account if so? Alcántar soto said if it is an underwrite then yes. Herk said it is an underwrite, grant or loan. Hayden said loan piece is why they don't want a max. There have been a \$60,000 loan given out before. It didn't make back all the money but it came close. They don't need a maximum. Tet the council sort that out. Ghant said in policy there is a form they would have to fill it out? Could they see that form? Is it catered to the policy? Alcántar Soto said it would look like the AC and she can bring it back for questions. Herk said there are people to do testimonials. Daniel special events coordinator. She has been several times, comedians. As the person who is going to bring them. They are asking for \$30,000. The budget for the entire year is \$18,000. She can't bring him. She would lose her entire budget for the year. For herself, there is no way they can get comedians. It won't be able to handle it unless they put ticket sales at \$50. Underground coffee house guy wanted to say a lot of really big named acts, they are usually in the bigger price range. Ingrid Michaelson is in that \$30,000. They still can't make a subsidy to attend that event. Sam Tegg in AS Productions said unlike special events film events are usually free. They could find online illegally, they don't have tickets. They don't realize getting the rights to the movie is expensive. Just the movie is \$1,000 or \$1,500 and that is not including popcorn or outreach. It is very expensive. Alcántar Soto said she will bring the changes for next week is, there will be a sentence in the first paragraph saying it is not part of the operating budget. Unforeseeable = not budgeted for. Instead of students they'll just say attendees. Add the exception about the target event and board approval. #6 the request will be twice a year. One exception. Because it is just one, do they want the board to approve it? Kohout said yes, as a consent item. It will not be used for uncontacted travel. And she will bring the Orgsync form as well. Ghant said what if the event was off campus. What if it was at boulevard? Does it have to be on campus? Alcántar Soto said there is nothing limiting the location. As long as it is publicized to the student body well. Really applauding the work from Herk and Goldblatt. Not in their job description to work on policy because it is hard to work with.

Y. ACTION ITEMS - Guests*

A. Viking Tobby Day Non-Discretionary Request

Kohout said she changes some wording. It doesn't affect the board much, mostly the Tegislative Affairs Council (TAC) Wolters asked if this would institutionalize this position. Kohout said yes.

ASB-15-S-20 by Kohout

approves the Viking Lobby Day Job Description

Second: Ghant Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

B. VU Fees, Rates and 2016 Budget

Ghant said they saw this last week. Any questions? Kohout said worried about student fee increase. Regardless of the benefits. Not that she doesn't think it is important but it's the feedback that she has gotten from students. Alcántar Soto asked what they would be voting on. McBride said vote of yes means they support it, vote saying no, and means not supporting it. Alexander said personally he feels that he has to remove himself as the Director of the VU. He will remove himself. Ghant said these haven't been raised in 10 years? McBride said they have done some with Lakewood. Event services haven't been raised in 6 years. Nonacademic fee hasn't been raised in 4 years. Alcántar Soto said she feels hesitant for increasing fees for students. McBride said it's always student fee funded. They aren't a reaction by state support. The cost of business going up for the VU. Ghant said she went to Services and Activities Fee Committee today and they talked about raising fees. She isn't for raising fees either. With some knowledge about tuition decreases would it equalize? This fee raise would bring tangible benefits to students. She wrestles with that decision. As for Lakewood this would say they can rent a kayak all day for 6 bucks. Compared to other places in Bellingham, they are really reasonable in her opinion but obviously not all students think that. Raising it 3 bucks for 8 hours is alright in her opinion is okay. Kohout asked to split the proposal? Alexander said there is an opportunity to write any notes with the motion and they can forward it on to the trustees. Philosophy and beliefs. Wolters said she can offer that same input too. They could write a statement about fees, she can present it to the BOT. Kohout move to split the question. She approve the event services charge and the Lakewood water craft fee. And the VU fees. Kohout said opposed increase in fees. She hasn't heard any student who wants more fees. They should figure out a way to do it. They don't want increase fees. And she listens to the students. Smiley said second what Kohout said. There wasn't much of a benefit it's not fair to the folks. Alcántar Soto said can they push to start paying these kinds of things for them? McBride said some of the changes they would see is to the actual building, ESC the renovation around the ESC. It is connected to the AS because It's its home. Ghant said students don't want increase fees. Could this two dollars and 50 cent increase go to helping the ESC? Could they consider it paying it forward? Paying for future students can see the new ESC? Enhancing student's experience? Are they paying to invest the success of students? It's too high as it is though. They can look it from either approach. Lakewood hasn't been raised in 10 years. It will benefit students. It is going to renovating the lounge at Lakewood. Kohout said she is okay with the event services and Lakewood costs. She wants to send a message to the Board of Trustees, students voted on the GEF but didn't have a vote on this fee. No student input but them talking about it. There is no student voice. Smiley said even with the enrollment fee. They were approving funding institutional graduation support and they only have two (2) students make decisions for the entire population. There is poor accessibility to these meetings.

ASB-15-S-21 by Kohout

Approve the split vote of Services fees versus Mandatory fees.

Second: Alcántar Soto Vote: 6-0-0 Action: passed

ASB-15-S-22 by Kohout

approve the service fee increases.

Second: Alcántar Soto Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

ASB-15-S-23 by Alcántar Soto

approve the mandatory nonacademic building

Second: Ghant Vote: 0-6-0

C. AS Structural Review Committee

Kohout said she needs to change “TBD”. It should said AS Structural Review committee. She did some reworking. Added Dean of students to be non-voting. Chair shall be VP for Business and Operations. Vice chair will be AS VP for Governmental Affairs. Thank you for alexander for being a facilitator. Alcántar Soto said she is super excited. Ghant said thank you Kohout for student leadership development. Wolters said to add the word percent. Ghant said will or could work with SPAC? Kohout said she would like to leave it open. Ghant said spell out SPAC. Spell out Board of Directors.

ASB-15-S-24 by Kohout

Approve the structural review committee.

Second: Alcántar Soto Vote: 6-0-0 Action: passed

VI. PERSONNEL ITEMS *(subject to immediate action)*

VII. ACTION ITEMS - Board*

A. Board Ratifying the AS Elections.

Guizar said elections is over! There were five (5) unopposed positions. The two that had competition were the AS VP for Governmental Affairs and the AS VP for Academic Affairs. Folks had about 2 weeks to get prepared then started campaigning. East week they had glitches with vote-net. There was 18 hours (full business day) it was down. First it was a certain browsers, and they got a lot of emails from students. She was able to update them. Election Board Chair and she extended it a full school day until Monday at 2pm. Posters extended. They verified the votes. As website had difficulties. She would like to present the new AS Board. They had about 8.2% turn out which was better than last year which it was about 8%. Win on their behalf, especially with so many un opposed positions, they would

assume there would be a disconnect and disinterested. They did a good job on getting students engaged. About 1,000 students voted and eligibility is 13,000. The Sustainable Action Fund passed with 86%.

ASB-15-S-25 by Kohout

Approve the election 2015 results.

Second: Ghant Vote: 6-0-0 Action: passed.

Guizar said they will be giving recommendation for the election code later on to make sure next year is even better. Dugovich said good job Mayra!

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS - Board*

IX. CONSENT ITEMS *(subject to immediate action)*

X. BOARD REPORTS

ASB-15-S-26 by Alcántar Soto

Approve to email board reports.

Second: Rodrigues Vote: 4-2-0 Action: Passed.

XIII. OTHER BUSINESS

The meeting was adjourned by acclamation at 9:08p.m.