



## “AS Assessment Process Office Rotation Change”

**Proposed Motion:** *To move the REP’s assessment from the 2016-2017 year to the 2017-2018 year, and move the AS Review assessment from the 2017-2018 year to the 2016-2017 year.*

**Sponsor:**

**Persons of Contact:**

**Guest Speaker:** *Emma J. Opsal, AS Assessment Coordinator*

**Date:** TBD

### Attached Document

Attached is the last change to the rotation, as well as the proposed change

### Background & Context

Following the change in the rotation to even out the number of office clusters spring 2015, it was noted by the previous Assessment Coordinator, Matt Smith, that the REP was scheduled to undergo assessment during Presidential Election years. As the office is heavily involved with politics, this led him to believe assessment or the office would suffer if assessment took place at that time. He brought it up with the current assessment coordinator during their spring internship, suggesting the change and replacement with the AS Review. Smith, the previous REP director Patrick Eckroth, REP supervisor Lisa Rosenberg, and AS Review supervisor Jeff Bates had discussed the change, and all were in agreement on the change. When the position was assumed by the current holder, Bates, and Rosenberg were all in agreement the change would be beneficial.

### Summary of Proposal

The proposed change would move back the REP’s assessment one year, to the 2017-2018 year, and move the AS Review’s assessment forward one year to the 2016-2017 cycle. This would alleviate workload issues faced by the REP for a major election year.

### Fiscal Impacts

There are no fiscal impacts to this resolution.

### Rationale

The REP is a highly involved office that attracts students with great interest in politics on all scales. In the current rotation, assessment for the office would always fall during presidential election years, which commonly have elections on more localized scales. Because of this, without knowing the future office workers, it can be assumed the employees would struggle to balance assessment and regular job duties, therefore either assessment or the employees would suffer. By taking assessment out of the equation during the election years, this possibility is avoided. 2017-2018 is an ideal year for the REP, as it follows a major election year, and thusly, the office could look at their major programming from the prior year, and it would not fall in a midterm election year. Additionally, AS Review supervisor, Jeff Bates stated this would also be beneficial, as at that time he could assess both the Communications Office and the AS Review, and the effect on his workload would be negligible.