Proposals

Issue: Dedicated Revenue

Authors(s): Josie Ellison, Danny Edgel, Nora Selander, Bryce Hammer

Considerations for presenters:

- Describe the issue: What is the problem? What is the solution?
- How does it directly impact and improve students' lives?
- Please provide background information and the current context of the issue.
- Does it have a clear target? If yes, who?

o (e.g. a specific legislator, committee, or other agency)

- How will it strengthen and expand efforts within Western?
- How could students build a diverse campus coalition around this

issue?

- · In what way does it have a local/state/federal organizing and lobbying angle?
- What creative and/or innovative tactics could we employ to engage the media

and excite new students around this issue?

Trends show a clear state divestment in higher education where students suffer from greater financial burden and dramatic tuition increases. In fact, twenty years ago, the state government paid 80 percent of the cost of a student's education and a student paid 20 percent. Today, the state pays 30 percent of the cost, and the student pays 70 percent. As the cost of higher education increases, many students are unable to pursue postsecondary education or do so but graduate with increasing amounts of debt that stifle their development after graduation. In fact, the median debt for 2016 WWU graduates is \$19,500. This debt disadvantages students and delays them from starting their lives post-graduation, which also negatively affects the economy as a whole.

In order to improve the affordability and accessibility of higher education, the state must increase their financial contribution, which is unlikely without an increase in consistent revenue from reliable sources. With new and dedicated revenue Washington state has the potential to radically redefine what public higher education looks like in this biennium- by fully funding higher education we can truly serve the diverse needs of the students on our campus.

Historically, finding new and dedicated revenue has been an extremely difficult challenge even though it is a necessary condition to accomplishing most of the ASWWU legislative requests. While these proposals push Washington towards economic justice,

I ASWWU Legislative Agenda

the potential revenue is what can actually improve people's lives, with more steady sources of revenue Washington would be able to fund not only higher education, but many other services that could make higher education truly accessible in Washington.

A decrease in financial burdens and barriers as well as an increase in the quality of higher education has an incredible impact on students, being able to navigate our institution of higher ed is difficult enough without the additional stress of finances or lack of support. All students can relate over high tuition and less access to financial aid, which has made pursuing higher education increasingly difficult.

ASWWU has lobbied for different parts of this issue and other sources of dedicated revenue for the past few years, having this proposal on our agenda serves as a way to validate the student opinion in Olympia, showing that we have the ability to find funding for the changes we are recommending.

Issues such as finding revenue sources to better fund higher education, are associated with the affordability, accessibility, and quality of higher education in general, this issue is both deeply and widely felt for all students. It is incredibly important to note too that the issue of inadequate funding disproportionately affects students of color, and in order for the solutions to be real and meaningful students of color need to be involved in this work. In addition some of the proposals listed create a natural alliance with environmental groups on campus.

New and Dedicated Revenue will be especially important this session as students will be heavily pushing for fully funding the state need grant, the work study program, and other need based forms of aid. This is a budget year where the legislature is under intense pressure to fund education in compliance with McCleary, so fighting for funding for the spectrum of early learning through higher education with real, attainable sources of revenue will be the best way to approach session.

This proposal will attempt to offer clear and realistic sources of new and dedicated revenue that can be specifically allocated towards higher education. The criteria for these suggestions have taken into account three basic guidelines:

- 1) do not increase existing taxes that disproportionately harm people of lower income
- 2) aim for tax increases that discourage negative behaviors that are harmful to society
- 3) avoid negatively impacting the economy of Washington State.

Most of these recommendations if passed could take effect as soon as the next fiscal year. That being said each of these different proposed taxes could take varying levels of time and effort to implement.

Tax Cannabis

1-502 was approved by WA state voters in the 2012 statewide elections. It is estimated that over the next five years, as much as \$349.3 million could be generated and deposited into the state's general fund which may be used for any governmental purpose as it is appropriated by the legislature. We recommend establishing dedicated funding for higher education from this tax, specifically it could be used to support student services on campus that increase graduation rates. This would total \$3.3 million for statewide implementation, with \$1.2 million going specifically to WWU. Increased funding for



ASWWU Legislative Agenda

support services was in the House Budget in the last session and had broad bipartisan support.

Tax Lottery Winnings

Although lottery winnings are already implicitly taxed, there should be an explicit tax on lottery winnings with the proceeds funding higher education. Washington state's current implicit lottery tax revenue results in a profit equivalent to \$19 per person, which is lower than the U.S. average at \$58 per person. A 3% tax on lottery winnings would bring in \$11.2 million annually. These revenue policy changes will be easier to implement because the tax structures already exist and opposition to increases in these taxes is minimal.

Close the Extracted Fuel Exemption

As part of a multi-year effort on climate policy and with a looming need to find new revenue to support higher education, this proposal would build on a campaign initiated by the environmental community in 2013 to eliminate the "extracted fuel exemption" in state tax code. Oil companies benefit directly from taxpayers and students have borne the burden of financing this investment. Closing the loophole would generate between \$41-63 million per biennium. The environmental community's strong campaign to mobilize support for closing the loophole makes 2017 a good session to build a broader base of support for pushing oil companies to make investments in critical state obligations like higher education.

Business & Occupation Tax for Research/Development firms

Remove a B&O tax exemption for R/D firms and divert those funds into a program designed to increase funding for education. STEM specifically might make it more palatable to people opposed. The tax exemption should be allowed to expire in 2015.

Increase the Estate Tax

We currently have a sliding scale estate tax between 10-20%, it is applied only to assets over \$2 million. Changing this would affect approximately 300 people a year and could raise as much as \$200 million per biennium. This issue has made slight traction on previous years but despite the fact that it strongly benefits the overwhelming majority of Washington residents it remains locked in a partisan divide.

First Time Mortgage Lenders Tax Loophole

Banks that lend to first time home buyers do not pay tax on profits. This was originally designed to benefit WaMu, a bank that no longer exists. This could generate \$100 million per biennium in non-consumer taxes. This should be relatively easy, when the reason for a piece of legislation becomes obsolete, like WaMu has, there is a strong argument to be made for the removal of those protections.

Limit Real Estate Excise Tax (REET) exemptions

ASWWU Legislative Agenda

Currently when you sell a house in WA you pay a REET, we propose limiting the exemptions currently offered so that lenders pay a REET when they sell foreclosed properties. This could raise up to \$81.9 million per biennium. This also has fallen more along partisan lines, but the moral argument for it is pretty strong.

Capital Gains Tax

Capital Gains are the profits from sales of corporate stocks, bonds, investment property (Not on primary residences) & other high end financial assets. This would only be on gains of over \$25,000 a year, and would mostly affect people with an average annual income of over \$490,000. It could generate as much as \$800 million a year/\$1.6 billion per biennium.

This issue is incredibly partisan but one that could raise an incredible amount of money without affecting most of Washington state, and in a very progressive ways.

Total \$ recommendations in proposal: ~\$1.04 billion per year

Our primary targets are as follows:

Rep. Hans Dunshee (D): Chair of the House Appropriations Committee.

Rep. Bruce Chandler (R): Ranking minority member, House Appropriations Committee

Rep. Frank Chopp (D): Speaker of the house

Sen. Mark Schoesler (MCC): Senate Majority Leader.

Sen. Andy Hill (MCC): Senate Ways and Means chair.

Sen. Hargrove (D): Ranking member Ways and Means

Secondary Targets

Gov. Jay Inslee (D): Has made education a top priority

Sen. Barbara Bailey (R): Invested in Higher Ed

A creative way to engage students with this issue would be using fake money or checks to signify how much students are paying annually to attend WWU or how much debt they will be graduating with. Running a "what if' campaign might also be an effective way for students to express how much debt they are going to graduate with and what they could have bought with that same amount of money. We can come up with other tactics throughout the year as we react to the way the session is forming around a supplemental budget.



ASWWU Legislative Agenda

Considerations for the Legislative Affairs Council

This section is comprised of questions LAC should keep in mind while considering proposals

- · Is it winnable?
- Does it have a clear and realistic time frame?
- Is it likely to be debated and acted upon by the

Legislature in the next year?