

**Western Washington University Associated Students
AS BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

Friday, October 26, 2018

VU 567

- Members:** *Present:* Millka Solomon (President), Levi Eckman (VP for Academic Affairs), Camilla Mejia (VP for Diversity), Natasha Hessami (VP for Governmental Affairs), Anne Lee (VP for Student Life), Genaro Meza-Roa (VP for Business & Operations).
Absent: Ama Monkah (VP for Activities)
- Advisor:** Eric Alexander (Associate Dean of Student Engagement/Director of Viking Union)
- Guests:** Julia Furukawa (student-at-large); Erasmus Baxter (student-at-large); Henry Pollet (AS Legislative Liaison); Alec Willis (AS Elections Coordinator); Cora Cole (REP); Nicole Wood (SASHA WWU); Jack Clemens (SASHA WWU).

Motions:

- ASB-18-F-27** Approval of the meeting minutes from October 19th. *Passed.*
- ASB-18-F-28** Approval of the added two amendments of Section 2, I, a, “(1) be enrolled as a student at WWU who contributes to the Services & Activities Fee, and be taking a minimum of six (6) credits as an undergraduate or four (4) as a graduate student” and of Section 2, I, a, “(4) If running for an at-large position, be dedicated to representing undeclared students and be themselves, an undeclared student with no intent to declare in the current academic year. i. Pre-majors are allowed to run for these at-large positions.” to the AS WWU Student Senate Elections Code. *Passed.*
- ASB-18-F-29** Approval of the AS WWU Candidate Packet with the three amendments to Candidate Packet Checklist, Financial Disclosure Statement, and Candidate Registration to read “If you intend to represent the college you are enrolled in, please identify the college below. If undeclared please write “at-large.”” and striking “or otherwise running to represent undeclared students”. *Passed.*
- ASB-18-F-30** Approval of the Sustainability, Equity, and Justice Fund Charge & Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-18-F-31** Approval of the Transportation Advisory Committee Charge & Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-18-F-32** Approval the Elections Board Charge & Charter. *Passed.*
- ASB-18-F-33** Approval of all committee appointments. *Passed.*

Millka Solomon, AS President, called the meeting to order at 4:04pm.

I. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION ASB-18-F-27 By Lee

To approve the meeting minutes from October 19th.

Second: Hessami Vote: 5-0-0 Action: Passed

II. REVISIONS TO THE AGENDA

III. PUBLIC FORUM *(comments from students and the community)*

A. AS Review and Western Front

Erasmus Baxter and Julia Furukawa stated that they work for the AS Review and the Western Front but are here as students-at-large. Baxter stated that they met with some of the Board Members about some actions they are planning on taking, and they suggested that Baxter and Furukawa speak to the entire Board. Furukawa stated that this case started about 2 summers ago when Baxter and Asia Fields, a former student, were looking into a reported case of sexual misconduct on campus and requested public records about it. However, there was a substantial amount of redacted information in the documents sent to them. Some of that redacted information included the names of those found guilty of sexual misconduct. Furukawa and Baxter tried to appeal that by having someone outside of the Western Front request the records because the administration had expressed that they were nervous with a student publication having the name of the perpetrators. The university's concern was that if they had possession of the records there would be the possibility that they could find the identity of the survivor. Furukawa explained that with their experience with public records, there is so much other information that is redacted; it would be very difficult to find the identity of the survivor. They expressed that to administration and explained that their goal was to improve transparency between administration and the Western student body, not jeopardize the safety of the survivor. Baxter and Furukawa still have not received the records with the full information and recently submitted a request for them. They should be hearing back on November 2nd. Baxter stated that that WWU is breaking the law by not releasing the names by violating Washington's public records act. FERPA specifically prohibits the release of student's personally identifiable information and identities without their consent. There are some exceptions; it allows the release of information if a student is found responsible for a "crime of violence" or "non-forcible sex offense". Baxter stated that their position is that if FERPA says the university can release the names, they legally cannot redact that information. Baxter stated that this same issue occurred at the University of North Carolina, and the appeals court unanimously found that the university must release the names of the students found responsible. Baxter added that the University of Washington releases the names of those found guilty and have not had any issues. They want to know who those students are because WWU has a history of mishandling sexual assault cases as documented by reporting and the university's own investigation. They want to know who these students are because they do not know how many people found guilty of sexual assault are on campus because WWU has previously readmitted students who were accused and found guilty. They want to see how they can hold the university accountable. They wonder if the university is keeping students safe and caring for survivors; are they having perpetrators back on campus in the environment where it could possibly impair a student's ability to finish studying at Western or feel safe on campus? Their goal is to look into see if there is a trend going on at Western. They have met with Melynda Huskey this year and their main goal is to improve transparency. There is a lack of trust between the Western community and the administration especially in regards to sexual assault and misconduct cases. What they have proposed is an easy way to rectify the situation but administration has

denied it. They believe that WWU students deserve to know the university's history of handling these cases and deserve to know if these folks are still amongst the Western community. Baxter added that after doing years of reporting of sexual assault cases, survivors have told them that they want more accountability and transparency with how WWU handles these cases. Students-at-large are also concerned about their safety on campus because they do not know how many people who have committed sexual assault have been readmitted to Western. They are asking the university to release the names and the length of suspensions. It allows transparency in the system in that it helps make sure that people are not getting special treatment for being athletes or inversely discriminated against within the system. They can see who the students are and how they are being treated. It ensures trust in the system, which is currently missing. They are not sure if people have had a history of sexual misconduct in the past and transferred to another university. Baxter stated that as student journalists, they would need to decide whether to release the names of the perpetrators. They understand that it is a very difficult situation because they do not want to take agency away from survivors. They want to look at the trends and see if there is a problem. To follow those trends, they need to know people's names. Furukawa stated that they would use the same journalistic standards as they do for other stories. A story should not do more harm than good as in informing the community. Their goal is not to expose people but to hold the university to a standard of accountability. Natasha Hessami stated that the administration had issues with them being journalists; Hessami wondered what happened when a student-at-large asked for the records. Baxter stated that he has been dealing with this for 2 years and stated that the documents were sent over redacted, so Baxter sent the link from FERPA stating that they could release the names. The records department referenced the Department of Education stating that the names could not be released if the incident report has already been released. They would be hearing back on November 2nd and they don't expect the records to be released. Baxter and Furukawa found a lawyer in Seattle and are going to sue for the university to release the records. Alec Willis wondered if there would be a legal defense fund after they hear back on November 2nd. Furukawa stated that they would need funds for the case but they are lucky enough that there are non-profits that support freedom of the press for student journalism. They will likely be doing other fundraising efforts as well. Baxter stated that the lawyer they found in Seattle agreed to contingent fee. If it goes to appeal, that would be beyond the scope of the contingent fee agreement. Levi Eckman asked whether someone with several reports with minor redactions could triangulate a survivor's identity. Baxter and Furukawa both stated that they do not believe it would be feasible. It would be incredibly difficult. Every record from WWU goes through a redaction process. Baxter stated that the only situation where someone could discover the identity of a perpetrator would be if someone made a mistake during the redaction process. Eckman clarified that through that process they would be able to find the identity of a perpetrator but not a survivor. Baxter explained that the AS Review released a story called "Suspended for Sexual Assault, Readmitted One Year Later" where Baxter was able to figure out the perpetrator's identity. Baxter stated that the document had mentioned an earlier conviction from King County and Baxter asked for the cases from that date range from King County Sheriff's Office. They made a mistake in the redaction process and left a misspelling of the perpetrator's last name, so Baxter was

able to guess their last name. They were able to determine their identity because there was a previous police report and there was a mistake in the redaction process. Eckman stated that Baxter and Furukawa previously stated that “we use the same journalistic standards”, Eckman wanted clarification on whether standards vary between different campus publications and what types of training the publications receive. Furukawa stated that they provide a training to the Western Front about ethical and responsible reporting. The Western Front also receives a training from L.K. Langley on accurate representation of queer folks in stories. They also require their reporters to turn in recordings with their stories to hold the reporters accountable that they are being truthful, accurate, and unbiased. Furukawa acknowledged that sometimes students mess up but in cases like these, an experienced editorial team would be handling the situation. Baxter added that no publication would release a survivors name without their consent. Baxter stated that every story goes through editorial staff and the AS Review follows program standards. Furukawa stated that the Western Front is part of the Student Publications Council. This council is made up of journalism faculty and representatives from different on-campus publications to help regulate what is going on in student publications. They have a policy on controversial stories and the processes to address issues like that. Furukawa stated that even though there is no set policy that they need to consult with Carolyn Nielsen, Furukawa would meet with Nielsen and speak with their advisors. A story like this would go through several levels of being checked by students and faculty before being released. Millka Solomon stated that the Board would love to hear about what the university says on November 2nd.

IV. INFORMATION ITEMS – Guests*

V. ACTION ITEMS – Guests*

VI. PERSONNEL ITEMS (*subject to immediate action*)

VII. ACTION ITEMS – Board *

A. Student Senate Elections Code

Eckman stated that a group of students put together the edited version of the Elections Code. Hessami stated that they went through the document thoroughly and made sure all the dates were consistent. There were no radical policy changes compared to the previous code. They made sure to note that this document expires following the Student Senate election and the Election Code Advisory Committee would be getting together as the year goes on to do a more robust revision. Eckman stated that he would like to make one amendment under Section 2: Election Policies. It currently states “(4) If running for an at-large position, be dedicated to representing undeclared students.” Eckman would like to change it to “(4) If running for an at-large position, be dedicated to representing undeclared students and be themselves, an undeclared student with no intent to declare in the current academic year. i. Pre-majors are allowed to run for these at large positions.” Leti Romo stated that earlier today they were discussing graduate students who wanted to be involved. When discussing eligibility, it currently states, “be enrolled as a student at the main campus

of WWU, and be taking a minim of six (6) credits as an undergraduate or four (4) as a graduate student.” Romo thought it could say “student who pay an S&A fee” instead of being constricted to the main campus. Hessami stated that the only issue with that would be that the senators regularly attend committee meetings, which could be an issue with people at satellite schools. Cora Cole stated that it is consistent practice in the AS for people who cannot attend committee meetings to phone in to participate in committee meetings. Eckman stated that by excluding extended education students, it puts them at more of a disadvantage but it would be good to have that option available to them. It does state that the senators can vote electronically or by proxy, it could be an issue with UPRC and Faculty Senate. Solomon stated that she would like to make that addition. Eckman stated that they could change it to “(1) be enrolled as a student at WWU who contributes to the Services & Activities Fee, and be taking a minimum of six (6) credits as an undergraduate or four (4) as a graduate student.” Casey Hayden stated that there are a few programs through extended education that do not pay those fees but are enrolled with the university. Henry Pollet mentioned that it is possible to get certain fees waived, so they could include language for those who waived the fee. Eckman stated that he does not believe there is one in place this year but it is an important topic to revisit. The final language is “To be in enrolled as a student of WWU who contributes to the Services & Activities Fee”.

MOTION ASB-18-F-28 By Eckman

To approve the added two amendments of Section 2, I, a, “(1) be enrolled as a student at WWU who contributes to the Services & Activities Fee, and be taking a minimum of six (6) credits as an undergraduate or four (4) as a graduate student” and of Section 2, I, a, “(4) If running for an at-large position, be dedicated to representing undeclared students and be themselves, an undeclared student with no intent to declare in the current academic year. i. Pre-majors are allowed to run for these at-large positions” to the AS WWU Student Senate Elections Code.

Second: Hessami Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

B. Student Senate Candidate Packet

Hessami stated that they went through this document to ensure the dates were correct. They decided not to require faculty signatures. Willis stated that once the Elections Advisory Committee exists and is running functionally, they would discuss requiring faculty signatures. At this point, it is best to allow everyone to sign everyone’s form and not require faculty because they want to have as many candidates running as possible. Eckman stated that on the Candidate Packet Checklist, it states, “if you intend to represent the college you are enrolled in, please identify the college below. If undeclared or otherwise running to represent undeclared students, please write “at-large.”.” Eckman would like to remove “or otherwise running to represent undeclared students” because that could cause some confusion. Another amendment is to the Financial Disclosure Statement, it currently states, “if you intend to represent the college you are enrolled in, please identify the college below. If undeclared or otherwise running to represent undeclared students, please write “at-large.” .” Eckman would like to remove “or otherwise running to represent undeclared students”. The same amendment should be made to the

Candidate Registration section for clarification. They want to make it as clear as possible for the students.

MOTION ASB-18-F-29 By Eckman

To approve the AS WWU Candidate Packet with the three amendments to Candidate Packet Checklist, Financial Disclosure Statement, and Candidate Registration to read “If you intend to represent the college you are enrolled in, please identify the college below. If undeclared please write “at-large.”” and striking “or otherwise running to represent undeclared students”.

Second: Mejia Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

C. Sustainability, Equity, and Justice Fund Committee Charge & Charter

Lee stated that she changed all the language that said “Sustainable Action Fund” to “Sustainability, Equity, and Justice Fund”. They have reinstated the ESC member and added a representative from the SAIRC. The Vice Chair for the committee is now the AS ESP Director. The VP for Business & Operations will be a voting member. Lee added a Standards section to ensure that they create an inclusive space and that everyone is responsible for educating themselves and having a lens of equity and justice when discussing sustainability. It reads “Working proactively and collectively as committee members to create an inclusive space that recognizes/addresses the marginalization and disenfranchisement of specific groups of people who have not had voice in sustainability movements through: 1) defining equity and justice, and aligning committee conduct and processes to reflect these values, 2) integrating educational opportunities and dialogue to build understanding of environmental justice and systemic issues rooted in the history of sustainability and environmentalism, 3) redefining sustainability on campus and in the larger community to reflect focuses on human health”. Camilla Mejia she loves the addition of the Standards section but asked about the first bullet point. Mejia stated that not all those who sit on committees are people of consciousness, so she wondered if anyone or the committee had a plan if someone on the committee is not being equitable or tokenizing students and how that situation would be addressed. Cole stated that process for removing a voting member from a committee is a 2/3 majority vote. The repercussions for a committee is up to the chair of that committee. Lee added that for a structure to mitigate the consequences would be working on processes such as a feedback system. A survey could be sent out to gauge engagement and how people are feeling in the committee. She wants to establish a survey or feedback system to check in with the committee members. In addition, it would be good to make sure people are aware of what they are saying and how they are treating other people. With the history of this committee, Lee is keeping their eye on that but it is a continuous process and would love more feedback as they move forward with this committee.

MOTION ASB-18-F-30 By Eckman

To approve the Sustainability, Equity, and Justice Fund Committee Charge & Charter.

Second: Solomon Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

D. Transportation Advisory Committee Charge & Charter

Lee stated that the date was changed to October 2018 to reflect updating the charge & charter itself for this school year.

MOTION ASB-18-F-31 By Meza-Roa

To approve the Transportation Advisory Committee Charge & Charter.

Second: Eckman Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

E. Elections Board Charge & Charter

Hessami stated they discussed this with Willis and they decided to leave it as “Chair shall be hired by the AS Personnel Office by the first week of winter quarter and shall not be a candidate, or involved with a candidate’s campaign. If the chairperson is not filled, the AS REP director shall serve as chair.” They decided that it is okay for the chair be an AS employee. The AS REP director will be added as the chair if there is not a chair hired. Pollet asked if the REP director would have the job title of committee chair and be qualified for that stipend received for being the Elections Chair. Hessami stated that they would be doing a lot more work, so she thought they should. Eric Alexander stated that it would be an unlikely occurrence that the REP director would end up being the chair. Nora Harren stated it is mostly just for the case of this Student Senate election process. Hessami added that there would not be an Elections Board for this year’s senate elections. Pollet recommends that the Board set it as designated by REP director. They could keep the cap at 19 hours and make sure the REP director stays under 19 hours per week. Harren stated that a good chunk of the Elections Chair job was understanding the AS and the REP Director would already have that information. She does not think it would take too much time if they already had that knowledge. Solomon clarified that this committee is not meeting for this Student Senate election and recommended that they ask for advice from the Personnel Office. Since it is not time sensitive, they do not have to pass this item this week. Everyone agreed that the REP director would have to ensure to keep their work under 19 hours per week.

MOTION ASB-18-F-32 By Hessami

To approve the Elections Board Charge & Charter.

Second: Eckman Vote: 5-0-0 Action: Passed

F. Budget Committee Charge & Charter

Genaro Meza-Roa stated that the date has been changed to October 2018. This committee have not met yet. They will be reviewing budget proposals and funding changes within the AS. Eckman asked if Meza-Roa thought the AS Assessment Coordinator should be in the membership. Meza-Roa agreed that they could add them to the membership, so he will reach out to Ian Ferrer to see if they would be interested in joining the committee. Alexander stated that in practice over the last few years, Raquel Wilson and Alexander have oscillated on their role as the advisor of the committee. Alexander is the official advisor for Business Committee but Wilson is the advisor for Budget Committee. Eckman stated that they could add (or

designee) to Alexander's title. It makes sense for Alexander to be on the membership because he is not advising the Board directly anymore. Meza-Roa agreed to adding "or designee" following Alexander's title and would be reaching out to the Assessment Coordinator. Solomon asked why Meza-Roa is the only Board Member on this committee because Solomon would like to be involved in the budget process. Alexander stated that it is probably because the Board has the final approval already, so they have a chance to see the budgets anyway. The VP for Business & Operations position has been there to shepherd the process along. Alexander added that the Budget Committee meetings are open to the public, so Solomon could attend. Mejia stated that they should wait to approve the charge & charter until Meza-Roa talks to the Assessment Coordinator. Solomon asked if this item is time sensitive and if it would be okay to wait to approve it next week. Meza-Roa stated that it would be okay to wait to approve at next week's meeting.

VIII. INFORMATION ITEMS – Board*

A. Club Hub Reserves

Alexander stated that every year the Club Hub for the Club Cup, they give an award to the club that wins for a celebratory dinner. They typically have \$250 to pay for that out of the Club Hub account. This year the club that won was very large, so it was \$637 for the dinner. The Club Hub budgets their account very tightly, so they are asking if they could move the difference of \$387 from AS Reserves to the Club Hub account. Solomon asked Meza-Roa how the Reserves budget is. Meza-Roa would look into that and provide a report next week.

B. ESC Leadership & Advocacy Force Charge & Charter

Mejia stated that this charge & charter was already approved by the Board. After some reflection and discussion with the ESC Advocacy Director, interim program coordinator, and LAF, they are going to be changing "AS ESC Advocacy Director" from Vice-Chair person to their lobby day representative/coordinator person. Mejia explained that last year the ESC Cultural Education Coordinator was the vice-chair but they were not involved in creating the agenda or taking the minutes. The vice-chair did not have any specific tasks. Last year when Erick Yanzon and Moya Lojewski decided to amend that, they put the Advocacy Director as the vice-chairperson instead of the Cultural Education Coordinator. Since the ESC is supposed to do lobby day but it is not clearly stated in their charge & charter, it makes for some murky waters in the future. Mejia added that the Advocacy Director sits on Legislative Affairs Council to work on the ESC Lobby Day Agenda, so for clarity and consistency across the board, they are changing ESC Advocacy Director from vice-chairperson to ESC lobby day representative/coordinator. Mejia has not decided on the language yet but they would remain as non-voting members. The membership is not changing but a change in title. The Advocacy Director is changed from vice chair to an ESC Lobby Day representative. There is no vice chair, but "the vice chair shall be selected at the discretion of the council and acts in the absence of the chairperson". Hessami asked if LAC's charge & charter would need to be changed. Mejia stated that the title is not being changed. Mejia discussed this with LAF and they agreed to the changes.

C. Business Committee Charge & Charter

Meza-Roa stated that this committee is in charge of structuring the overall method in which the AS conducts business and is an essential part in helping prepare the budgets. They have changed some language in the charge portion to state “Business Committee will advise in decision-making related to the business functions of the Associated Students by: 1)Assisting in the development and implementation of policies & procedures which will aid in handling business issues, 2)Recommending policy and procedural changes that affect business practices or operations for the AS to the AS Board of Directors for final approval, 3)Creating consistency in business issues and in the AS Business Office, and 4)Assisting in preparing and implementing the annual AS Budget process.” Meza-Roa stated that the ESC Assistant Director for Club Logistics was added to the membership. The Voting section was changed to state “decisions shall be made by consensus. If consensus cannot be made, any voting member can make a motion. In order for a motion to pass it must obtain a majority of the legal votes cast. An abstention shall not count as a legal vote cast”. Alexander stated that this committee was created originally as an advisory group to the AS Business Director. It has grown in the last few years to support the budget process. It does not make decisions but helps structure the process. There are not many decisions made but they need to come up with reasonable responses to what they need to do. Alexander clarified that none of the advisors vote, so there are only three students sitting on the committee. At one point, they thought there was no need for a voting clause at all. Mejia stated that it would be good to reach out to the ESC Assistant Director for Club Logistics to see if they would like to be involved prior to being added to the membership. Meza-Roa stated that they would contact them. Mejia stated that a good rule of thumb to avoid tokenization, if any of the Board Members are going to add the ESC or the SAIRC onto the membership, reach out to them first and ask whether they would like to be on the committee. Mejia agreed that they should be on the membership.

IX. **CONSENT ITEMS**

A. Committee Appointments

Committee on Undergraduate Education

Marissa Johnson Behavioral Neuroscience/Psychology

Academic Honesty Board

Darcy Allen Community Health & Human Services

Hannah Sear Anthropology

Student Technology Fee Committee

Nick Moore Business Administration & Management Information Systems

AS Elections Advisory Committee

Jennifer LeVeque Freshman Theatre/Political Science

MOTION ASB-18-F-33 By Solomon

To approve all the committee appointments.

Second: Eckman Vote: 6-0-0 Action: Passed

X. **BOARD REPORTS**

Millka Solomon, AS President stated that board reports are supposed to be quick. Since all the board members have talk-times, the Board Members should talk about what they want to public to know.

Levi Eckman, VP for Academic Affairs stated that they met with the council of deans. All the deans will be sending their letter to the colleges on Monday. Met with Sarah Wilson from First Year Advisory Committee and they thought that they could possibly fund the at-large position sustainably through the first year enrollment fees. Filing opens on Monday as well as publicity will be put up on Monday. There will be a full-page advertisement in the AS Review throughout the duration of the filing period. Eckman stated that there was an issue at Wade King Rec Center with music being played in the weight room. Adam Leonard replied to Eckman stating “it is not our intent to ban any genre of music in our facility. Recently we have received feedback about misogynistic lyrics and inappropriate language in some of our music that have made some of the students uncomfortable and unwelcome.” Leonard is currently speaking with the Rec Center staff about more appropriate music selections. Eckman is waiting for an email back from Leonard. Eckman stated that he wants to make sure that each Board Member has five posted office hours and that everyone is in the office available to the public at those times. Eckman needs two Board Members to meet with Donna Gibbs to discuss their Make Waves campaign.

Natasha Hessami, VP for Governmental Affairs stated that the State Candidate Forum was yesterday. It went great but three out of the four republican candidates did not show up. The Local Issues Forum is on Thursday for folks on the Bellingham Ballot. Sam Frost added that the live feed of the State Candidate Forum reached almost 4,000 people. Hessami stated that they had a meeting with Brad Johnson (Dean of College of Science and Engineering).

Genaro Meza-Roa, VP for Business and Operations stated that he met with the Registrar, David Brunnemer. It turns out that they are on the same page. Brunnemer also believes that students should not pay for degree evaluations, should not be charged late fees if someone applies for an evaluation after a certain date, or pay to walk at commencement. Thus, Brunnemer is in the process of going through the administrative hurdles to get funding for \$600,000 from the Board of Trustees to cover those costs. The registrar’s budget is almost fully from degree evaluation fees and commencement fees, so not having students pay would be very taxing on their budget. The Board could make a statement saying that they believe students should not have to pay, it would be a good first step forward. Solomon asked where \$600,000 would come from. Meza-Roa stated that it would come from the general university budget. Hessami wondered what would be cut to make that happen. Pollet stated that tuition could possibly increase to cover that cost but it would not increase this year. The state decides whether schools can increase the tuition and by what amount. Meza-Roa stated that Brunnemer seemed very sincere and as a compatriot on this issue. They will discuss both the administrative and student sides to try to work together.

Anne Lee, VP for Student Life stated that she went to the Central Health and Safety Committee. In regards to the smoke free initiative, which the student body voted on, there was a 16% turnout. Sue Sullivan, the Director of Environmental Health and Safety, will be releasing a campus wide survey to staff and students to gauge people's perceptions of smoking. In addition to the initiative, it would be a Segway into thinking about the work that needs to be done if they want to transition WWU to a smoke-free campus. There are contentions about the language in the survey but Lee will receive a copy as well as some other folks on the committee to work on the language. There is an update to the animals on campus standard. It is more particular to service animals in labs. Lee asked that it be publicized to the students, so that everyone knows their rights. Eckman stated that the Faculty Senate Executive Board has been talking about that as well. Lee wanted to make sure that information was being publicized to students. She is still working with students from Students Against Sexual Harassment and Assault in response to Western's dealings with sexual violence. They are talking to a lot of folks about programming and preventative measures.

XI. OTHER BUSINESS

A. Mejia's Office Hours

Mejia stated that she would no longer be having office hours on Wednesdays.

Solomon adjourned the meeting at 5:42pm.