



AS Finance Council

January 26, 2022 4:00 p.m. Teams Online

Members: Present: Noemi Bueno, Chair (AS Business Director), Glory Basic, Vice Chair (AS President), Ben Crandall (Activities Rep), Chelsea Joefield (Resources Rep- ESC), Naira Gonzales Aranda (ASVP for Diversity), River Johnson (Student Senate Representative),
Absent: Brenner Barclay (AS Student Senate President), Silvia Leija (Resources Rep- SAIRC), Kaylan Rocamora (Central Services Rep)

Advisor: Raquel Vigil, Assistant Director for Business Services and Planning

Secretary: Samantha Hughes, Viking Union Organization Business Services Program Support; Cindy Monger, Assistant Director Business and Planning

Guests: Susanna Schronen, VU Fiscal Tech

MOTIONS

FC-22-W-05 Approve the minutes of January 19, 2022. **Passed**

FC-22-W-06 Approve the VU Reserve proposal for a temporary position in the Club Hub for \$23,000. **Passed**

Noemi Bueno, chair, called the meeting to order at 4:07 p.m.

I. Call to Order

II. Approval of the Minutes- January 19, 2022

MOTION FC-22-W-05 by Joefield

Approve the minutes of January 19, 2022.

Second: Basic

Vote: 4-0-1

Action: Passed

III. Revisions to the Agenda

IV. Public Forum

V. Black Student Demand Updates

Bueno shared that during her recent tour of the VU, she saw the Black Student Coalition space that is currently being worked on. She said that it warmed her heart to see it. Bueno also shared that Woodring is holding a Black Student Conference next month, and that it's exciting to see more programming for Black students on campus.

Vigil shared that there is a funding proposal that was recently submitted to the University for the Black Student Coalition. Vigil unsure how this intersects with or impacts the grant request that may also be coming into the Finance Council from the Black Student Coalition. Vigil said it appears that the University is in the process of hiring a Black Student Coalition Specialist Coordinator, which will be under the leadership and advisement of Amy Westmoreland, Director of Multicultural Student Services.

VI. Action Items

A. VU Reserves Proposal- Temp Club Position

Doc. 1

Crandall asked how long the temporary position would last. Vigil said the position will last 6 months. The position holder began at the end of December and will work until the end of Spring quarter. Crandall also asked if this is a student position or Pro Staff? This will be classified as a Pro Staff position.

MOTION FC-22-W-06 by Johnson

Approve the VU Reserve proposal for a temporary position in the Club Hub for \$23,000.

Second: Crandall Vote: 5-0-0 Action: Passed

B. Grant Proposal Rubric

Doc. 2

The Grant Proposal Rubric Template has been recently updated based on the conversation from last meeting. These changes included: Reordering the bullet points under “justification”, adding in a sentence under Justification asking “How does the grant support marginalized students in a strong and sustainable manner?”, and editing for punctuation and grammatical errors. On the rubric itself, changes included: Under “Impact to WWU Students” the wording was edited to say “...strong benefit to WWU students” “...some benefit to WWU students” and “...no benefit to WWU students”. Under “Equity & Inclusion” column 3 was edited to say “...a strong and sustainable commitment”. The “Environmental Impact” row was reordered to be higher on the list. Hyperlinks were added to “AS Mission & WWU Mission”, as well as “Office Mission”. Bueno asked everyone if they wanted to include any additional edits. Vigil noted that “AS Values” were listed twice, which will be amended by Bueno. Vigil also noticed some grammatical errors, which will be corrected by Monger. Johnson mentioned that under “Environmental Impact”, determining the score based on “positive impact”, “neutral impact”, and “negative impact” to the environment seemed inconsistent when compared to the grading criteria in the other categories. Johnson suggested adding an “if applicable” note to this category or figuring out a way where there are negative points added for negative impact, no points added for neutral impact, and positive points added for positive impact. Based on this idea, Vigil suggested either making the (1) column a (0) or changing the grading system to be “+, ✓, and -” to be more flexible.

Bueno tabled the voting of this action item to next week’s meeting in order to continue the conversation of potential edits to this document by acclamation.

Crandall left the meeting at 4:35pm.

VII. Information Items

VIII. Other Business

A. Prep work for the next meeting

Review the Grant Proposal Rubric and suggest edits.

IX. Adjourn

The Meeting was adjourned at 4:40 p.m.